UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
POST OFFICE BOX 711
MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36101-0711

DEBRA P. HACKETT, CLERK

TELEPHONE (334) 954-3600

December 4, 2006

NOTICE OF CORRECTION

From: Clerk's Office

Case Style: Philip L. Goodwyn et al v. V Restaurants, Inc., et al.

Case Number: 2:06-cv-893-WKW

Pleading: #3 - Motion for Reconsideration

Notice of Correction is being filed this date to advise that the referenced pleading was e-filed on 12/4/2006 without the supporting pdf documents attached.

The supporting documents are attached to this notice.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

IN RE: PHILLIP GOODWYN, DEBTOR,

SIMPLE PLEASURES, INC., PLAINTIFF,

V.

V. RESTAURANTS, INC., **DEFENDANT.**

CHAPTER 7 **CASE NO. 05-32325-WRS**

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO. 05-03062

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

COMES NOW V Restaurants, Inc. and Vince Saele, Defendants in the above styled action, by and through their attorney of record, and moves this Honorable Court pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 56 and Fed.Bank.R. 7056 for entry of summary judgment in favor of the Defendants on the following issues:

Breach of Contract: The Plaintiff, Simple Pleasures, Inc., and Phillip Goodwyn were in breach of the contract by failing to comply with one of the material terms of contract between the Plaintiff and Defendants.

Conversion: There is no evidence of 1) a wrongful taking, 2) an illegal assumption of ownership, 3) an illegal use or misuse of another's property, or 4) a wrongful detention or interference with another's property.

Unjust Enrichment: The underlying contract made a part of the Plaintiff's complaint reflects the agreement between the parties and precludes recovery for unjust enrichment.

Damages for Use: The Defendants finds no actionable claim under Alabama law which fits the allegations contained in this count. In addition there is no proof that Gator's Restaurant generated any profits during the period between the time V Restaurants took possession of the restaurant and the time that Simple Pleasures' interest in the restaurant was terminated.

Fraud: The Plaintiff has produced 1) no evidence of a false representation of a material fact nor is there 2) a specified time, place or date as required by Rule 9, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure upon which any misrepresentation was made by the Defendants.

Negligence: The Plaintiff has produced 1) no evidence of any duty the Defendants owed the Plaintiff nor 2) that the Defendants were negligent in any manner.

Wantonness: The Plaintiff has produced 1) no evidence of a reckless indifference for the consequences, nor 2) some wrongful act or omission of some known duty which produced injury to the Plaintiff.

Willfulness: The Plaintiff has produced no evidence of 1) a willful or intentional injury nor 2) a knowledge of the danger accompanied with a design or purpose to inflict injury.

Conspiracy: The Plaintiff has produced no evidence 1) of any agreement between the Defendants that caused any harm to the Plaintiff and 2) conspiracy itself furnishes no cause of action in Alabama.

ADMISSIONS AND UNDISPUTED FACTS

- 1. Simple Pleasures was a restaurant and food service corporation in Montgomery, Alabama. Goodwyn is the president of Simple Pleasures. (Plaintiff's Complaint)
- 2. Simple Pleasures owns and previously operated a restaurant on the east side of Montgomery, Alabama commonly known as "Gators". Gators is located at 5040 Vaughn Road, Montgomery, AL, 36116-1149. Gators has been previously known as Gators Plaza Café, however, recently it was renamed Gators Fish House. (Plaintiff's Complaint)
- 3. Simple Pleasures had a host of financial problems including unpaid Federal tax obligations which resulted in Federal tax liens, unpaid obligations to Regions Bank and an arrearage owed to the restaurant's landlord. (Goodwyn deposition pg. 25-28)
- 4. In the past two years, Goodwyn and Simple Pleasures have actively pursued and investigated several offers to sell the assets, goodwill, and customer base of Gators. (Plaintiff's Complaint)
- 5. In or about July 2004, Goodwyn received an offer from V Restaurants and Saele to purchase Gators, and ultimately the parties agreed to a \$90,000.00 purchase price. (Plaintiff's Complaint)
- 6. For the purchase price, V Restaurants and Saele agreed to purchase Gators, as a going concern, to include all equipment, inventory and supplies, furniture, fixtures, and amenities. (Plaintiff's Complaint)
- 7. The above-referenced terms and conditions were integrated into a contract for sale and signed by the parties, September 24, 2004. (Plaintiff's Complaint)
- 8. Incident to the negotiations on the contract for sale, V Restaurants and Saele negotiated a \$30,000.00 payoff of the \$104,253.57 lease arrearage with Spectrum, a

\$30,000.00 payoff of the \$80,000.00 debt to Regions Bank, and an anticipated \$30,000.00 settlement of the \$106,425.71 debt to the Internal Revenue Service. (Plaintiff's Complaint)

- 9. The Internal Revenue Service lien encumbering the restaurant property was in place and enforceable. These liens were never released nor did Mr. Goodwyn have the liens released as of the October 22, 2004 performance date as required by the letter of understanding. (Goodwyn deposition page 50 - 53)
- 10. The Regions Bank lien was not released as of the October 22, 2004, date nor did Mr. Goodwyn have an agreement with Regions to release said liens upon payment of \$30,000 to Regions as of October 22, 2004 as required by the letter of understanding. (Goodwyn deposition page 50 - 53)
- 11. Incident to the contract for purchase of Gators, Goodwyn verbally agreed to allow V Restaurants and Saele to take possession of the restaurant, pending approval of the application to the Internal Revenue Service. (Plaintiff's Complaint)
- 12. Following the signing of the letter of understanding on September 24, 2004, and the agreed date upon which the transfer was to take place, October 22, 2004, Robert J. Russell, Jr. made a number of phone calls to Mr. Memory's office to determine the progress of efforts on behalf of Mr. Goodwyn to clear the liens and encumbrances in question. Through-out all of theses calls Mr. Russell was never provided any assurance that the subject liens and encumbrances (Federal Tax lien and Regions lien) were being addressed by Mr. Goodwyn, nor did he have the financial ability to do so. (Affidavit of Robert J. Russell, Jr.)

- 13. V Restaurants and Saele signed a contract for sale, September 24, 2004. Incident to the instant contract, V Restaurants and Saele agreed, among other things, to purchase the going concern of Gators for \$90,000.00. (Plaintiff's Complaint)
- 14. During the course of negotiations for the letter of understanding dated September 24, 2004, there were no misrepresentations made to the Plaintiff by the Defendants. (Goodwyn deposition page 80 - 82)
- 15. V Restaurants and Saele assumed possession before the sale was closed. (Plaintiff's Complaint)
- 16. Incident to the instant contract V Restaurants and Saele agreed to purchase the going concern of Gators for \$90,000.00. (Plaintiff's Complaint)
- 17. Phillip Goodwyn is not aware of any agreement between or among the Defendants that may have caused him any harm. (Goodwyn deposition page 85 - 87)
- 18. Other than Simple Pleasures being unable to sell the Gator's restaurant to the Defendant, Mr. Goodwyn has no evidence that there was an agreement between anyone to support the conspiracy count. (Goodwyn deposition page 88 - 89)

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this the 14th day of March, 2006.

/s/ Daniel G. Hamm

DANIEL G. HAMM (HAM043) ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANTS, V RESTAURANTS, INC AND VINCE SAELE 560 SOUTH McDonough Street SUITE A MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36104 TELEPHONE 334-269-0269 FAX 334-323-5666

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have this day served a copy of this **Motion for Summary Judgment** by electronic transmission or by placing a copy in the United States Mail with sufficient postage for first class delivery to the attorneys named below or parties if not represented by counsel.

DONE this the 14th day of March, 2006.

/s/ Daniel G. Hamm

DANIEL G. HAMM (HAM043) ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANTS, V RESTAURANTS, INC AND VINCE SAELE 560 SOUTH McDonough Street SUITE A MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36104 334-269-0269 TELEPHONE 334-323-5666 FAX

Von Memory James Day 469 S. McDonough Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104

SIMPLE PLEASURES, INC.

V.

V RESTAURANTS, INC., et al.

PHILLIP GOODWYN

February 17, 2006

Reagan Reporters, LLC Phone: 334.262.7556

Fax: 334.262.4437

www.ReaganReporters.com

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

NORTHERN DIVISION

IN RE:

PHILLIP GOODWYN, CASE NO.

Debtor. 05-32325-WRS

SIMPLE PLEASURES, CHAPTER 7

INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs. ADVERSARY

V RESTAURANTS, INC., PROCEEDING NO.

ET AL, 05-03062

Defendants.

* * * * * * *

DEPOSITION OF PHILLIP GOODWYN,

VOLUME I, taken pursuant to notice and stipulation on behalf of the

Defendant, in the Law Office of Von G.

Memory, P.A., 469 South McDonough

Street, Montgomery, Alabama 36104,

before Aimee French, Court Reporter

and Notary Public in and for the State

	Page 2		Page 4
1	of Alabama at Large, on February 17,	1	INDEX
2	2006, commencing at 10:14 a.m.	2	INDLA
3	2000, Commencing we rour culture	3	EXAMINATION OF PHILLIP GOODWYN
4		4	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE NUMBER
5		5	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE NUMBER
6 7			Mr. Hamm7
8		7	
9		8	EXHIBITS
10		9	Exhibit 133
11		10	
12		12	
13		13	
14		14	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER91
15 16		15	WORD INDEX
17		16	
18		17 18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
	Page 3		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES	1	STIPULATIONS
2	DOD THE DI A DITTE	2	It is hereby stipulated and
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	3	agreed by and between counsel
5	VON G. MEMORY, ESQ. MEMORY, DAY & AZAR	5	representing the parties that the deposition of PHILLIP GOODWYN is taken
6	469 SOUTH MCDONOUGH STREET	6	pursuant to notice and stipulation on
7	POST OFFICE BOX 4054	7	behalf of the Defendant; that all
8	MONTGOMERY, AL 36104	8	formalities with respect to procedural
9		9	requirements are waived; that said
10		10	deposition may be taken before Aimee
11	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	11	French, Court Reporter and Notary
12	DANIEL G. HAMM, ESQ.	12	Public in and for the State of Alabama
13 14	560 SOUTH MCDONOUGH STREET SUITE 8	13 14	at Large, without the formality of a commission; that objections to
15	MONTGOMERY, AL 36104	15	questions, other than objections as to
16	MOINT COMBINE, THE SUIUT	16	the form of the questions, need not be
17	D. COLEMAN YARBROUGH, ESQ.	17	made at this time, but may be reserved
18	2860 ZELDA ROAD	18	for a ruling at such time as the
19	MONTGOMERY, AL 36106	19	deposition may be offered in evidence
20	ALGO PREGENTE MANY C. 1	20	or used for any other purpose as
21 22	ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Vince Saele	21 22	provided for by the Civil Rules of Procedure for the State of Alabama.
23		23	It is further stipulated and
۷)		145	it is furtifer sulpulated and

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

334.262.7556

Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

Page 6			Page 8
1 agreed by and between counsel	1		Avenue, Montgomery.
2 representing the parties in this case	2	Q.	•
3 that the filing of the deposition of	3	ν.	spell your name?
4 PHILLIP GOODWYN is hereby waived and	4	Α.	G-O-D-W-Y-N.
5 that said deposition may be introduced	5	Q.	
6 at the trial of this case or used in	6	`	today?
7 any other manner by either party	7	A.	Entec Stations. I'm supervisor of
8 hereto provided for by the Statute,	8		food service.
9 regardless of the waiving of the	9	Q.	And how long have you been so
10 filing of same.	10		employed?
11 It is further stipulated and	11	A.	,
12 agreed by and between the parties	12	Q.	
13 hereto and the witness that the	13		or not?
14 signature of the witness to this	14		No. I'm in the corporate office.
15 deposition is hereby waived.	15	Q.	
16	16		On Zelda Road.
17	17	Q.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18 19	18 19	A.	
20	20	Q.	And once again, what are you doing for Entec?
21	21	A.	
22	22	л.	their food service development and
23	23		their fountain drinks and their
Page 7			Page 9
1 PHILLIP GOODWYN,	1		coffees and marketing.
2 after having been first duly sworn	2	O	How were you employed prior to your
3 under oath, was examined and testified	3	ζ.	employment with Entec?
4 as follows:	4	A.	As soon as I finished helping Vince
5 EXAMINATION BY MR. HAMM:	5		finish some catering parties, when I
6 Q. Mr. Goodwyn, my name is Dan Hamm. I'm	6		finished my commitment
7 going to be asking you some questions.	7	Q.	
8 You've taken a deposition in this case	8	A.	Mr. Saele. Once I had finished
9 before; is that correct?	9		helping him through October, I went to
10 A. Yes.	10		work immediately with them.
MR. HAMM: Mr. Memory and I	11	Q.	October of 2005?
guess Mr. Goodwyn will be rendering	12	A.	
testimony on behalf of Simple	13	Q.	\mathcal{L}
Pleasures, Incorporated also?	14		you not say a few moments ago that you
MR. MEMORY: That's correct.	15		had been with Entec a month and a
MR. HAMM: Very well.	16	A	half?
17 Q. (BY MR. HAMM) Mr. Goodwyn, at any	17	A.	,
time if you do not understand my questions, please ask me to rephrase	18 19	Q. A.	J. Company of the com
questions, please ask me to rephrase the question, and I'll be glad to.	20	A.	been there since November of 2004.
21 First of all, would you give us your	21	Q.	
name and your address?	22	Q. A.	I think that's what I said.
23 A. Phillip Lightfoot Goodwyn, 1533 Gilmer	23	O.	And prior to your employment with
11. I mind Digitalot Goodwyii, 1999 Gillioi		\prec .	in prior to your chiproyinche with

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

334.262.7556 Reagan Reporters, LLC

		Page 10			Page 12
1		Entec, you were employed how?	1		both and management, my lack of
2	Α	I was the owner of Gator's.	2		ability for management style,
3		The restaurant that is the subject of	3		probably, forced me into selling
4	∢.	this litigation	4		Hillwood to the manager of Hillwood,
5	A.	· ·	5		so I could operate only one.
6	Q.		6	Q.	
7	A.	~	7	ζ.	location or Simple Pleasures was
8	Q.	<u> -</u>	8		operating the Hillwood location for
9		Pleasures, Incorporated?	9		three years, did you testify to
10	A.	Correct. Or president.	10		earlier?
11	Q.	•	11	A.	I believe that's correct.
12	À.	Yes.	12	Q.	And that would have been, what, 1995
13	Q.	No other stockholders?	13		or
14	A.	No other stockholders.	14	A.	'95.
15	Q.	And how long had Simple Pleasures been	15	Q.	Okay. And when did Gator's open?
16		in business?	16	A.	, ,
17	A.	'92. It opened as Hillwood Cafe in	17		really '93 was when Hillwood so it
18		Vaughn Plaza I mean, not in Vaughn,	18		would have been, you know,
19		in Hillwood Festival Shopping Center.	19		three-and-a-half years, approximately
20		And how did it come to be Gator's?	20		more like three-and-a-half years that
21	A.	Ed needed Ed Fatzinger needed a	21		I operated Hillwood, owned and
22		tenant over at in Vaughn Plaza, and	22		operated. I had Gator's for almost
23		he had lost the tenant there, and so I	23		nine years.
		Page 11			Page 13
1		developed a business. I wanted to get	1	Q.	
2		away from Hillwood, which I was	2		they ran both businesses were
3		operating at that time, into a bigger	3		operated?
4		market, which that area seemed to be.	4	A.	3,3,
5		Things were going west east, and so	5	_	correct.
6	0	we just came up with a name.	6	Q.	
7	Q.		7		for?
8		operated as Simple Pleasures,	8	A.	Approximately six months.
9	٨	Incorporated operated at Hillwood?	9	Q.	Why did you wish to leave the Hillwood
11	A.	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	11	٨	location? Hillwood has no evening traffic at all
12		was, which is a meat and three,	12	A.	Hillwood has no evening traffic at all down Zelda at that time. It was very,
13		lunch/vegetable thing and then a nicer, upscale dinner with seafood, so	13		very limited evening traffic, and it
14		very similar style of restaurant.	14		is a small restaurant that didn't
15	Q.		15		allow us to do the volume we felt
16	٧٠	operate the location that you term as	16		necessary to perform lunch properly.
17		Hillwood?	17	Q.	With respect to Simple Pleasures,
18	A.		18	٧.	Incorporated, the profitability
19	. I.	for three years I owned it. It was	19		stemming from the Hillwood location,
20		about three years. The last six	20		can you give me an idea as to what
21		months, when I opened Gator's, I owned	21		that looked like?
22		both of them at the same time. And	22	A.	Absolutely.
~ ~					
23		Gator's the financial stress of	23	Q.	Okay.

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

334.262.7556

Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

		Page 14			Page 16
1	A.	When I took over when we took over,	1		year before we made profits.
2		I got into something that I didn't	2	\circ	With respect to the 1995 year, do you
3		know I was getting into. I was very	3	Q.	remember a figure that Simple
4		young in the business	4		Pleasures, Incorporated would have
5	\circ	When you took over	5		enjoyed as far as net income as
6	A.	·	6		reflected on the federal tax return?
7	Α.	•	7	٨	
		When I bought Hillwood, Ed Fatzinger	8	A.	· , 1
8		came and got me to buy Hillwood as it			'95 did not show a profit because we because the investment or it
9		was from Gail Snyder and her group	9		
10		that went eventually down the street.	10		showed a flat profit, a very close
11		I thought I was buying a restaurant,	11		profit, because it invested or was
12		in turn I was really buying a	12		investing in all of the purchase of
13		nightclub with a loyal following to	13		Gator's, and Gator's construction was
14		Gail Snyder. When she did	14		going on at that time. So it did show
15		everything in her power to destroy	15		a profit, but it was a and I don't
16		she told me to destroy the business	16		recall what it was but it was not a
17		that I had purchased from her, even as	17		cash flow, a negative cash flow.
18		going as far as I mean, just it	18	Q.	
19		was unbelievable. So when she	19		What I'm asking about are some numbers
20		actually opened up	20		on the bottom of the tax return.
21		My	21		MR. MEMORY: Listen, you
22	A.	I'm going to give it to you in one	22		didn't ask for them. He's sitting
23		second. I'll be finished.	23		here doing the best that he can.
		Page 15			Page 17
1		MR. MEMORY: Okay. Make it	1		MR. HAMM: If he recalls.
2		short and move on.	2		I'm asking for those numbers.
3		THE WITNESS: All right.	3	A.	I don't know. I don't know. I can't
4		MR. MEMORY: We're not	4		recall exactly.
5		interested in the history.	5	Q.	(BY MR. HAMM) You don't recall the
6	A.	It went we had very few customers.	6		numbers?
7		The financial statements were in	7	A.	I do not recall what they were, no.
8		trouble until we built it up to a	8		MR. MEMORY: Sitting there
9		level of profitability. But we	9		lecturing him.
10		started from very low and in serious	10	Q.	(BY MR. HAMM) You feel that '95 was
11		debt, and then we pulled it I	11		profitable?
12		pulled it out of debt over the three	12	A.	<u> </u>
13		years.	13		difference in cash flow and
14	O.	(BY MR. HAMM) Did Simple Pleasures,	14		profitable. We were spending cash
15		Incorporated file tax returns for the	15		developing Gator's at the same time we
16		years of '93, '94, and '95?	16		were making a profit. We were out
17	A.		17		spending our profit. Was cash flow
18	Q.		18		positive during '95, no.
19	₹.	for Simple Pleasures, Incorporated in	19	Q.	You were devoting any profit that
20		those three years, that you recall?	20	٧.	may have stemmed, you were devoting it
21	A.		21		to the new location, Gator's?
22		profit in '94 or '93, '94, and	22	A.	
23		profits in '95. It would be the third	23	Q.	Okay. And do you have any idea as to
ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		T	-	<u> </u>	

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

334.262.4437

b4ce0427-0b12-4d29-a34d-e5e61109eba8

		Page 18			Page 20
1		what money that may have been?	1		Hillwood, were absorbed by Simple
2	A.		2		Pleasures, Inc. and followed on to
3	Q.	You indicated earlier that 1994 had a	3		Gator's.
4		profit of \$60,000. Would it have been	4	Q.	But there were unpaid obligations
5		in that neighborhood or a greater	5		associated with the Hillwood location?
6		amount?	6	A.	Yes, there were still outstanding
7	A.	It would have been a greater amount.	7		debts.
8	Q.		8	Q.	Were they just the trade payables, or
9		location was generating \$60,000, the	9		
10		profit, in '94 and a greater amount in	10	A.	1 3 7 3
11		'95?	11	Q.	, C
12	A.	Something like that. I don't recall	12	A.	
13	_	exactly.	13		rent left. I don't know. It was just
14	Q.	Okay. Were you taking a salary out of	14	_	trades, trade payments.
15		the Hillwood location in '94 and '95?	15	Q.	I mean, would it have been routine
16		Yeah, I believe I was, yes.	16		rent or would it have been an
17	Q.		17		arrearage?
18		greater figure for '95 would have been	18	A.	2
19		after your salary; is that correct?	19	0	stayed
20	A.	Yes, if it showed when it showed a	20	Q.	
21 22		profit of \$60,000, it would not have	21 22	A.	3
23		been a if when we showed a profit, it would not have been a cash flow	23		Ed for years since we opened Hillwood. I've never been current.
23		it would not have been a cash now	23		I ve never been current.
		Page 19			Page 21
1		profit because it would have been	1	Q.	With respect to the Gator's location,
2		profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may	2	Q.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or
2 3		profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time,	2	Q.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience
2 3 4		profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have	2 3 4	Q.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in
2 3 4 5	0	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000.	2 3 4 5	Q.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would	2 3 4 5 6		With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years?
2 3 4 5 6 7		profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q. A.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct. In '96?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct. In '96? I'd have to look at the lease. It was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for that? After two years, the second year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct. In '96? I'd have to look at the lease. It was '95 and 6, something like that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for that? After two years, the second year. '97, '98?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct. In '96? I'd have to look at the lease. It was '95 and 6, something like that. Do you recall what period of time that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for that? After two years, the second year. '97, '98?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct. In '96? I'd have to look at the lease. It was '95 and 6, something like that. Do you recall what period of time that you ran these two businesses together?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for that? After two years, the second year. '97, '98? '97, something like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct. In '96? I'd have to look at the lease. It was '95 and 6, something like that. Do you recall what period of time that you ran these two businesses together? About six months.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for that? After two years, the second year. '97, '98? '97, something like that. And did there come a time that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct. In '96? I'd have to look at the lease. It was '95 and 6, something like that. Do you recall what period of time that you ran these two businesses together? About six months. Okay. And then you vacated Hillwood?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for that? After two years, the second year. '97, '98? '97, something like that. And did there come a time that you wanted to get out of the restaurant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct. In '96? I'd have to look at the lease. It was '95 and 6, something like that. Do you recall what period of time that you ran these two businesses together? About six months. Okay. And then you vacated Hillwood? Yes. Was there any unpaid obligations from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for that? After two years, the second year. '97, '98? '97, something like that. And did there come a time that you wanted to get out of the restaurant business at the Vaughn Plaza, at the Gator's location?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct. In '96? I'd have to look at the lease. It was '95 and 6, something like that. Do you recall what period of time that you ran these two businesses together? About six months. Okay. And then you vacated Hillwood? Yes. Was there any unpaid obligations from the Hillwood location as you recall?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for that? After two years, the second year. '97, '98? '97, something like that. And did there come a time that you wanted to get out of the restaurant business at the Vaughn Plaza, at the Gator's location? There was a time from the day I got into Hillwood that I wanted out, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	profit because it would have been paying off debt. But, yes, we may have shown a profit during that time, 60,000 plus my profit I would have had 30,000. And your salary as you recall would have been a \$30,000 range? Uh-huh. And then you opened Gator's in '90 Or \$24,000, I think, at that time. Then you opened Gator's? Right, correct. In '96? I'd have to look at the lease. It was '95 and 6, something like that. Do you recall what period of time that you ran these two businesses together? About six months. Okay. And then you vacated Hillwood? Yes. Was there any unpaid obligations from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	With respect to the Gator's location, what was your experience with or what was Simple Pleasures' experience with respect to its profitability in the year of '95, '96, '97, '98, those years? Until we expanded into the bar and made it larger, it was not it was marginally profitable based on lunch. But when we expanded the bar, it became much more profitable. And can you give me a time frame for that? After two years, the second year. '97, '98? '97, something like that. And did there come a time that you wanted to get out of the restaurant business at the Vaughn Plaza, at the Gator's location? There was a time from the day I got

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

334.262.7556 Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

		Page 22			Page 24
1		you were in the Gator's location?	1	A.	Financial distress.
2	Α	From then on, since I've been in the	2	Q.	
3		restaurant business, I wanted out.	3	ζ.	but what factors? What factors caused
4	O	Okay. What did you do to get out?	4		you was it a threatened levy,
5	ζ.	What efforts did you take to get out	5		seizure?
6		of this restaurant business?	6	Α.	I opened up a seafood market next
7	A.		7		door, and that drew down on me
8		find managers and bring people in like	8		financially and very, very heavily.
9		Vince to buy the business or to take	9		We had spent too much money, \$200,000,
10		it over, to manage it, to become a	10		on it. We spent a lot of money on it.
11		partner, you know, and the deal would	11		Theft, because of it, had me overly
12		be they would come on and then they	12		divided, and theft had run out of
13		could end up getting or buying me out	13		control. The management was out of
14		and I would leave. I found the	14		control. And that's what drove the
15		restaurant business very difficult to	15		problem, and until I regained control
16		maintain a family life the way I'd	16		and I reopened and let go of
17		like to, so it was always a pursuit of	17		management, is when it came back to
18		trying to find somebody.	18		the financial profitability that it
19		But in lieu of that, what	19		had shown prior to me opening that
20		I ended up doing was, I was going to	20		seafood market.
21		then expand it. I found it as running	21	Q.	Let me see if I understand you. You
22		faster to generate more cash flow to	22		closed in 2003, sometime during the
23		keep creditors and to pay things back	23		2003 period.
		Page 23			Page 25
1		and to try to be able to hire managers	1	A.	October.
2		and get out that way.	2	Q.	October of 2003. And you reopened and
3	Q.	You mentioned earlier that you were	3		enjoyed a period of profitability?
4		trying to find others that were to buy	4	A.	
5		the business or come in.	5		to pay back some of the IRS debts and
6	A.	Like Hillwood, when I did Hillwood.	6		some of my suppliers' debts, and we
7	Q.		7		had regained profitability once I
8		any other people attempting to or	8		reopened, and almost virtually
9		solicit other offers on the purchase	9	_	immediately once I reopened.
10		on the Gator's business?	10	Q.	Following the reopening or following
11	A.	,	11		October 2003, did you go through any
12		actively went out and tried to find	12		type of business reorganization or
13		people to purchase it.	13		bankruptcy proceedings of any type
14	Q.		14		with
15		offers tendered?	15	A.	ε
16	A.		16		When I closed, I had meetings with him
17	0	tendered, no.	17		regarding my options on bankruptcy or
18	Q.		18		how to get this stuff paid back, but
19	A	the business?	19		my goal was to take care of Ed
20	Α.		20		Fatzinger and my debts to people that
21 22	Q.	For what period of time was it closed?	21 22		I had, debts to as to try to figure
23	A.	Two weeks. And what was the reason it was closed?	23	\circ	out how to get them taken care of. There was no bankruntay natition filed
۷٥	Q.	And what was the reason it was closed?	23	Q.	There was no bankruptcy petition filed

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

334.262.7556 Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

		Page 26			Page 28
1			1	A.	No, they had not filed liens at that
2	A.	No.	2		time, no.
3	Q.	in October of 2003 for Simple	3	Q.	
4		Pleasures, Incorporated?	4		liens at some point in time?
5	A.	No.	5	A.	Yes, I believe so.
6	Q.	Has there ever been a bankruptcy	6	Q.	And you think so or you know so?
7		petition involved for Simple	7	A.	, , ,
8		Pleasures, Incorporated?	8		I believe so.
9	A.	There was we October of 2005.	9	Q.	
10		We had to go ahead and do bankruptcy	10		Internal Revenue Service claims they
11		because of the Region's.	11		are owed today?
12		MR. MEMORY: Listen to his	12	A.	I believe a total somewhere around
13		questions.	13	_	\$80,000.
14		THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.	14	Q.	Has there been a responsible party
15		MR. MEMORY: He's asking about	15		assessment stemming from that
16		the corporation.	16		withholding tax obligation against you
17		THE WITNESS: The corporation,	17		personally?
18	0	yes.	18	A.	No.
19	Q.	(BY MR. HAMM) But the bankruptcy in	19		MR. MEMORY: He doesn't know
20		2005 was an individual bankruptcy; is that correct?	20		what you're asking. THE WITNESS: Uh-uh.
22	٨	Yes.	21 22	\circ	
23	Q.		23	Q.	(BY MR. HAMM) Did you understand my question about the responsible party
23	Q.	Page 27	23		Page 29
1	A.		1		assessment?
2		So in essence	2	Α	No I mean, I guess I don't, really.
3		No, and I don't know.	3		Has the IRS are they looking to you
4	Q.	•	4	ζ.	individually for the withholding taxes
5		bankruptcy petition	5		stemming from Simple Pleasures,
6	A.		6		Incorporated?
7	Q.	to Simple Pleasures, Incorporated?	7	A.	Yeah, they're looking for me for the
8	À.	Correct.	8		money, yes. Certainly, yeah.
9	Q.	You mentioned earlier some internal	9	Q.	In other words, Simple Pleasures,
10		service or I'm sorry some debt	10		Incorporated is not the only one
11		to the Internal Revenue Service.	11		liable today?
12	A.		12		Correct, yes.
13	-	Tell me about this.	13	Q.	Phillip Goodwyn himself is liable
14	A.	1 3	14		also?
15		not submitted on time or not sent	15	A.	
16	_	not the full amount from 2003.	16	Q.	5
17	Q.	S S	17		Department of Revenue? Are there any
	A .	Um-hmm.	18	٨	tax obligations?
18	A.		1 1 ^	Λ	0.10
18 19	Q.	Was there any income tax obligations?	19	A.	
18 19 20	Q. A.	Was there any income tax obligations? No.	20	Q.	How about Montgomery County?
18 19 20 21	Q.	Was there any income tax obligations? No. Withholding loan. Were liens did	20 21	Q. A.	How about Montgomery County? No.
18 19 20	Q. A.	Was there any income tax obligations? No.	20	Q.	How about Montgomery County? No. City of Montgomery? Sales taxes paid?

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

334.262.7556

Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

		Page 30			Page 32
1	\circ	Have there ever been any liens or any	1	A.	The contract Vince and I sat down
2	۷.	amounts owed those people beyond the	2	11.	on the 24th. He brought in this
3		period of time in which they were to	3		contract. He'd been frustrated we had
4		be paid?	4		not the attorneys had not gotten
5	A.	Have I I have with the State of	5		all together on everything. He wanted
6		Alabama in the 2003. I owed them	6		to take control of the business. I
7		\$2100, something like that, and I paid	7		wanted him to take control of the
8		it.	8		business. We were waiting for the
9	Q.	Okay. Most of them have been paid in	9		inevitable from the IRS and from
10		a timely fashion that would prevent a	10		Region's. They were willing, by all
11		lien from being filed; is that	11		indications, were had expressed
12		correct?	12		willingness to meet us on the
13		Absolutely.	13		agreement to the \$30,000 for each, and
14	Q.	Okay. And the Internal Revenue	14		Ed Fatzinger is my understanding
15		Services is, as far as you know today,	15		and I had spoken to Ed, that he was in
16		are the only one that has filed a	16		all full agreement with this
17		lien?	17	_	with this contract.
18		Correct.	18	Q.	
19	Q.	You have filed a lawsuit. Have you	19		ahead. Keep talking.
20		had an opportunity to review the	20	A.	\mathcal{E}
21		lawsuit	21		waiting for is he I was giving him
22		Yes.	22 23		the keys and we were going to be
23	Q.	that has been filed in this case?	23		waiting for getting the full release,
		Page 31			Page 33
1	A.	Um-hmm.	1		and I was going to be responsible for
2	Q.		2		making sure that he had full release.
3		Yes.	3		(Whomeyman Eyhihit No. 1 yyag mankad
4 5	Q.	Do you understand what is being said in this lawsuit?	4 5		(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)
6	A.		6		ior identification.)
7	Q.	Let me ask you some questions about	7	Q.	(BY MR. HAMM) Let me show you an item
8	Q.	this lawsuit. You have alleged a	8	Q.	that I have marked as Exhibit No. 1.
9		breach of contract that Mr. Saele and	9		Does that document look familiar to
10		V Restaurants, Incorporated	10		you?
11	A.	*	11	A.	
12		have breached a contract, an	12	Q.	
13	`	agreement, that you had with them	13	Ä.	
14	A.	Um-hmm.	14		V Restaurants, and I, representing
15	Q.	you and Simple Pleasures,	15		Simple Pleasures, Inc., were in
16		Incorporated had with them. Can you	16		agreement to.
17		tell me how that occurred and what	17	Q.	, ,
18		facts you know about that?	18		bottom or
19	A.	There were I hired or requested	19	A.	
20		Vince come in as a you want just	20	Q.	or half way down the second page?
21		the contract, discuss just the	21	A.	Yes, that's mine.
22	0	contract?	22	Q.	Okay.
23	Q.	I want what facts support	23		MR. HAMM: Mr. Yarbrough, this

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

334.262.7556 Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

Page 34	Page 36
1 is the copy of the	did it in his behalf. And I did
2 MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, I've got	2 express at that time that I had one
3 it.	wedding obligation that I had to make
4 MR. HAMM: You've got a copy?	4 sure, and he had to fulfill these
5 MR. YARBROUGH: That's the	5 other he had to fulfill these other
6 same thing that was just introduced in	
7 the other deposition, isn't it, 24th	catering jobs that were coming up, and then he would pay me a percentage for
8 letter?	
9 MR. HAMM: Yeah.	8 helping him execute those catering 9 jobs.
	10 So that was another
1	18 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
12 Incorporated down here at the bottom	the understanding that I was going to
of this document?	help him finish these because that was
14 A. Right.	my reputation. I had taken those
15 Q. And is it this agreement, dated	catering parties, and I wasn't going
September the 24th, 2004, which is	to let them just kind of be not
marked as Exhibit No. 1, is this the	17 overseen.
agreement is this the agreement	18 Q. Was that agreement, with respect to
that you and Mr. Saele had?	the catering that you just described,
20 A. Correct.	was it before September the 24th or
21 Q. Acting on behalf of each of your	after September the 24th?
22 corporations?	22 A. Well, it was a it was before
23 A. Correct.	23 September 24th when he discussed this
Page 35	Page 37
1 Q. Is it this agreement that was	and that we had discussed that he
2 breached?	2 needed help for these parties, and
3 A. Yes.	3 that he was going to pay me a
4 Q. Okay. Were there are there any	4 percentage to handle it. That was the
5 other terms other than what is	5 only way, because otherwise he didn't
6 reflected in this document to y'all's	6 want me involved in the restaurant,
7 agreement?	7 and I didn't want to be involved in
8 A. When we were discussing leading up to	8 the restaurant. He needed to take it
9 this document, when we were working on	9 over.
past agreements so implied or	10 Q. With respect to the catering, the
discussed, we were lining out areas	other agreement that you're speaking
that I would be allowed to go into in	12 of
order to make a living and the	13 A. Correct.
original documents which referenced	14 Q is it addressed in this September
any food service, and that's where we	24th, 2004 agreement?
had problems, and it turned out that	16 A. Yeah, in that it says that catering
Vince and I were in a complete	business operating out of Gator's
understanding that existing Gator's	shall continue but only be operated by
customers, ones that I had done	19 its new owners.
20 catering for in the past, any existing	20 Q. Okay. And were you satisfied with
clients, that they were actually	21 that?
actively doing any catering for, that	22 A. Am I satisfied with that?
I would not do any work for unless I	23 Q. Were you satisfied with that on

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

		Page :	88			Page 40
1		September the 24th, 2004?		1		we had discussed at that time that it
2		I was satisfied with the fact that we		2		would come when it comes; that I would
3		both had it clear in our head. We		3		do everything so he could operate it
4		understood that this couldn't cover		4		with no hindrances, and that's what I
5		every contingency. We didn't have,		5		was doing.
6		really, lawyers sitting there bringing		6	Q.	
7		it up, but in our head we had clear		7	Q.	hindrance, he was doing that at the
8		he wanted to make sure that the		8		time, was he not, or thereabouts?
9		profitability of what he saw of		9	A.	
10		Gator's, that I was not going to		10	11.	was not operating it, the business,
11		undermine his business. And my job		11		until the day I mean, he had been
12		was and in my head I was going to		12		in there helping his manager and doing
13		do nothing to undermine his business,		13		other things, but he wasn't the man in
14		and that is my I was not going to		14		control of all the deposits, of the
15		go into business against him, taking		15		money, of all that.
16		his customers, and that was our		16	Q.	
17		intention. And was that addressed?		17	A.	
18		Was it specifically word for word? Is		18	Q.	=
19		that what's right here? That's what		19	ζ.	beyond each other, but it occurred on
20		our intentions, and that's what the		20		or about September 24th that Mr. Saele
21		discussions between us were, and		21		took over the business
22		that's what I was holding myself to.		22	A.	
23		Does that answer your question?		23	Q.	is that correct? Okay. So is it
		Page :	39			Page 41
1	Q.	Well, if that's your answer, it		1		your understanding that paragraph
2		answers my question.		2		number four of Exhibit No. 1 was
3	A.	Um-hmm.		3		somewhat ambiguous between the two of
4	Q.	The were there any matters		4		you, or does it did you not agree
5		unresolved on September the 24th,		5		to this?
6		2004? Maybe that's the way I should		6		MR. MEMORY: Object to the
7		ask the question.		7		form. Calls for legal conclusion. Go
8		That we had left no, not that I		8		ahead and you can answer it if you
9		know of specifically, except for the		9		can.
10		fact that we said we couldn't transfer		10	A.	It was to me, at the time of this,
11		title, which was not important or		11		irrelevant in a point because what was
12		not title, but we couldn't transfer		12		our intentions? Our intentions were
13		money until I was able to deliver him		13		he was going to get a good deal. He
14		clear title to the equipment.		14		was I was going to assist him and
15	Q.	Okay. I'm going to cover that. I		15		have everything he needed to operate
16		understand how that would be a factor,		16		that business; that nothing would
17		but that is addressed in this document		17		hinder his operation of the business;
18 19		on dated September the 24th also, I		18 19		and that he would get complete and
20		believe.		20		total access and be able to keep the
21	A.	Right. But it was but we had had		21		equipment and everything in place;
22		an understanding that that would come when it would come; that there was no		22		that nothing would hinder the purchase.
		although it requests a closing day,		23	Q.	(BY MR. HAMM) Okay.
23		annough it requests a Closing day	- 1	∠ ⊃	U.	UD I IVIN. FIAIVIIVII UKAV.

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

334.262.7556

Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

		Page 42			Page 44
1	Α	All right? And that this, to me,	1	\circ	Okay. Very well. I just wanted to
2	11.	in some respects was just clarifying	2	Q.	clear that point up. There was no
3		what our obligations were, and our	3		agreement subsequent to September the
4		obligations were: He was to hand me	4		24th?
5		the money. He was to make a deal with	5	A.	
6		Ed, and I would deliver him everything	6	Q.	Okay. Good. Do you have any
7		in my power.	7		indication that Vince Saele would not
8	Q.	Okay. In your deposition have you	8		have paid you \$90,000?
9		had an opportunity to review your	9	A.	When he's going to pay me the 60 and
10		deposition	10		no.
11		Um-hmm.	11	Q.	The purchase price
12		given previously	12	A.	
13		Right.	13	Q.	The consideration would have come
14	_	when Mr. Yarbrough took it?	14		across
15		Um-hmm.	15		Absolutely not.
16		Did you review that?	16	Q.	1 7
17		I read through it quickly.	17		correct?
18	Q.		18		No. I had no indication anyway.
19		actually statements, that an agreement	19	Q.	
20		was occurred on 27th, 2004. Was	20		with breach of contract, is it, that
21		there any agreement subsequent to this	21		he didn't have the \$90,000 or didn't
22		September the 24th, 2004 document?	22		have the resources to do this, is it?
23	Α.		23	Α.	,
		Page 43			Page 45
1					
1	_	Um-hmm.	1	-	Okay.
2	Q. A.	Now, there was a lease on September	2	Q. A.	I had no indication he was going to
2	_	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and	2	-	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called
2 3 4	_	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum.	2 3 4	À.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me.
2 3 4 5	_	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this?	2 3 4 5	-	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract.
2 3 4 5 6	_	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's	2 3 4 5 6	À.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and
2 3 4 5 6 7	_	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on	2 3 4 5 6 7	À.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	À.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	À.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	À.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay. I mean, it may have been Friday. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract that you're suing on, is this the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay. I mean, it may have been Friday. It's Friday I stated earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay. I mean, it may have been Friday. It's Friday I stated earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract that you're suing on, is this the agreement of September the 24th of 2004?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay. I mean, it may have been Friday. It's Friday I stated earlier. So the September 27th date was an error on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract that you're suing on, is this the agreement of September the 24th of 2004?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay. I mean, it may have been Friday. It's Friday I stated earlier. So the September 27th date was an error on It's just a yeah. someone's part, either yours or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract that you're suing on, is this the agreement of September the 24th of 2004? Correct, or our agreement to fulfill his payment to me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.Q. A.Q. A.Q. Q.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay. I mean, it may have been Friday. It's Friday I stated earlier. So the September 27th date was an error on It's just a yeah. someone's part, either yours or perhaps a court reporter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract that you're suing on, is this the agreement of September the 24th of 2004? Correct, or our agreement to fulfill his payment to me. Okay. When did you get notice of the breach of the contract? When were you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay. I mean, it may have been Friday. It's Friday I stated earlier. So the September 27th date was an error on It's just a yeah. someone's part, either yours or perhaps a court reporter? Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract that you're suing on, is this the agreement of September the 24th of 2004? Correct, or our agreement to fulfill his payment to me. Okay. When did you get notice of the breach of the contract? When were you informed that Vince Saele
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.Q. A.Q. A.Q. Q.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay. I mean, it may have been Friday. It's Friday I stated earlier. So the September 27th date was an error on It's just a yeah. someone's part, either yours or perhaps a court reporter? Right. Okay. It should have been September	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract that you're suing on, is this the agreement of September the 24th of 2004? Correct, or our agreement to fulfill his payment to me. Okay. When did you get notice of the breach of the contract? When were you informed that Vince Saele Whatever date that Von was aware of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay. I mean, it may have been Friday. It's Friday I stated earlier. So the September 27th date was an error on It's just a yeah. someone's part, either yours or perhaps a court reporter? Right. Okay. It should have been September the 24th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract that you're suing on, is this the agreement of September the 24th of 2004? Correct, or our agreement to fulfill his payment to me. Okay. When did you get notice of the breach of the contract? When were you informed that Vince Saele Whatever date that Von was aware of that they called me to tell me. Vince
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Now, there was a lease on September 27th, I think, between Vince and Spectrum. MR. HAMM: What page was this? MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah, it's first reference, page 28 beginning on line 12. (BY MR. HAMM) I'm looking at page 28. That would have been September the 24th. Okay. I mean, it may have been Friday. It's Friday I stated earlier. So the September 27th date was an error on It's just a yeah. someone's part, either yours or perhaps a court reporter? Right. Okay. It should have been September the 24th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.	I had no indication he was going to breach the contract until Von called me. Tell me how he breached this contract. And the contract we're speaking of and you're suing about is this September 24th, 2004 contract. His attorney sent a letter to Von saying he wasn't going to fulfill the contract. Okay. But my question the contract that you're suing on, is this the agreement of September the 24th of 2004? Correct, or our agreement to fulfill his payment to me. Okay. When did you get notice of the breach of the contract? When were you informed that Vince Saele Whatever date that Von was aware of

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

334.262.7556

Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

	Page 4	6		Page 48
1	problem, we were running in trouble,	1	0	Did he were you under any kind of
2	because I need to have this we have	2	٧.	duress on September the 24th, 2004,
3	a timeline. There was no discussion	3		when you executed
4	that I was in any way, form, or	4	A.	. •
5	fashion creating any problem or	5	Q.	
6	hindrance to him or that he was having	6	ν.	Did anything force you to sign?
7	a problem and was going to breach the	7	A.	
8	contract. No one called, said	8	Q.	
9	anything that there was a problem.	9	ζ.	document?
10	Q. Well, with respect to paragraph four	10	A.	No.
11	on the October 22nd, 2004 date, you	11	Q.	
12		12		this document before you signed it?
13		13	A.	No.
14	A. It wasn't relevant to him operating	14	Q.	And you were not incapacitated in any
15	the business, so I had delivered him	15		matter, and you understood what you
16		16		were signing; is that correct?
17	everything. It was not in other	17	A.	
18		18	Q.	But you just did not feel the October
19		19		27th I'm sorry October 22nd,
20		20		2004 date was operative?
21		21	A.	I don't know if I looked back
22		22		reading back over it, I don't think I
23	over went to the ABC board, signed	23		was much aware of that date after the
	Page 4	7		Page 49
1	over phone numbers, given anything	1		fact. I didn't look back at this
2	anything he'd ask for he got.	2		document each week to say where are we
3	Q. Well, let me ask: On September the	3		on time. I had turned over everything
4	24th, where was this contract signed	4		for his operation. He was operating.
5	by you and Mr. Saele?	5		There were no hindrances. We were
6	A. In the office at Gator's. It's a	6		I had spoken to him a number of times
7	Q. Did you have an attorney prior to this	7		saying we're getting ready to get
8	date, September 24th, 2004?	8		these documents back for full release,
9	A. I did.	9		so I had told Vince that we were just
10	Q. And let me ask: Who was that	10		waiting on this. There is no problem.
11	5	11	Q.	
12	A. Von Memory.	12		accept \$30,000 at let's say
13	Q. Was Mr. Memory present during that	13		September the 24th, 2004?
14	meeting?	14	A.	1
15	, ,	15	Q.	•
16		16	A.	\mathcal{E}
17	3 3 7 1	17		particular time, but we had had
18	, , 1	18		discussions that they would that it
19		19		would in all likelihood they were
20		20	^	going to accept the \$30,000.
21	,	21	Q.	
22		22		agreement from Region's Bank that they
23	anything without an attorney present.	23		would accept 30,000 and release

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

		Page 50			Page 52
1		whatever claims they had to the	1		would release their claim to this
2		equipment and the furniture and	2		furniture and fixtures?
3		fixtures?	3	A.	Not that I know of.
4	A.	Two weeks or something after this, I'm	4	Q.	Let me ask you this: Do you continue
5		not sure, we had a document to	5		to get correspondence from the
6	Q.	There was a letter coming from	6		Internal Revenue Service
7		Region's	7	A.	Yes.
8	A.	Correct.	8	Q.	even today?
9	Q.	telling you such?	9	A.	Correct.
10	A.	Yes, there's a letter.	10	Q.	Even though you've executed the 2848
11	Q.		11		with your counsel; is that correct?
12		they would release	12		Yes.
13		Correct.	13	Q.	•
14	Q.	their claims to the furniture and	14		Internal Revenue Service?
15		fixtures within the Gator's	15		Yes.
16		restaurant?	16	Q.	You still get all correspondence from
17		Correct.	17		the Internal Revenue Service, don't
18	Q.	With respect to the Internal Revenue	18		you?
19		Service, when did you receive	19		Yes, right.
20		indication that they would release	20	Q.	•
21		their claims too?	21		the Internal Revenue Service saying
22	A.	I recall somewhere in November,	22		that they would release the lien?
23		mid-November.	23	Α.	No, not that I know of.
		Page 51			Page 53
1	Q.	•	1	Q.	•
2		document from the Internal Revenue	2		22nd or November the 15th or any date
3		Service?	3		subsequent to that, how did you plan
4	A.	I wasn't that was being handled by	4		to carry this transaction out?
5		my attorney.	5	A.	We were waiting for their approval of
6	Q.		6		acceptance of the offer, which we had
7		Simple Pleasures been informed?	7		been given some reason that they would
8		MR. MEMORY: Don't go out that	8		be looking at it favorably.
9		way.	9	Q.	How did you anticipate this
10	A.	*	10		transaction taking place? I mean,
11 12		MR. MEMORY: You can't talk	11 12		would it be with Mr. Saele paying
13		about what the two of us talk about.	13		\$60,000 cash, and you heard the
14	٨	THE WITNESS: Right.	14		testimony earlier, him assuming a note of \$30,000
15	A.	I don't know what transpired between my attorney and the IRS exactly.	15	A.	Correct.
16	\circ	(BY MR. HAMM) Did you give the	16		
17	Q.	Internal Revenue Service 2848 for your	17	Q.	and that money being held someplace pending IRS approval?
18		authorizing them to work with your	18	A.	
19		counsel?	19	л.	the attorneys. He hands over the
20	A.		20		check, we hand over the release of the
21	Q.		21		equipment from anything.
22	٧٠	Service send you a letter spelling out	22	Q.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				≺.	
23		or detailing any terms upon which they	23		Service to release their lean prior to

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

b4ce0427-0b12-4d29-a34d-e5e61109eba8

		Page 54			Page 56
1		receiving \$30,000?	1		V Restaurants has
2	A.	Or a letter of acceptance of some	2	Q.	Okay.
3		sort. We had the letter of acceptance	3	À.	
4		from Region's, and I was waiting for	4		I'm concerned, it, V Restaurants,
5		that.	5		never executed their part of the deal
6	Q.	Had Spectrum Development agreed to	6		where, yet, Simple Pleasures did.
7		accept the \$30,000 in	7	Q.	
8	A.	Yes, it was	8		about a landlord foreclosing liens?
9	Q.	It was a note; is that correct?	9	A.	I understand.
10	A.	A note.	10	Q.	Are you do you question that or are
11	Q.	And they had agreed to that?	11		you challenging that process here
12	A.	They agreed to accept what Region's	12		today as far as your lawsuit?
13		and the IRS were getting, and that was	13	A.	I am challenging that that process
14		\$30,000.	14		happened because Vince said he was not
15	Q.	And would that serve to release you	15		from V Restaurants, did not fulfill
16		from the entire lease arrearage?	16		his part of the agreement.
17		Yes.	17	Q.	I'm looking at paragraph eight of
18		Personally?	18		Exhibit No. 1, and it speaks of the
19	A.	Along with an additional five-year	19		remaining 30,000. I presume
20		lease from the restaurants from a	20		Um-hmm.
21	Q.	Okay. Following September the 24th,	21	Q.	
22		2004, did you receive any revenues	22		in from Mr. Saele, 30,000 would go to
23		from the business?	23		Region's; is that correct?
		Page 55			Page 57
1	A.	Only in terms of percentages of	1	A.	
2		catering.	2	Q.	And 30,000 would go to the Internal
3	Q.	Okay. And is it your position today	3		Revenue Service?
4		that you're entitled to revenues from	4	A.	
5		the business subsequent to September	5	Q.	For the release of all liens that they
6		the 24th, 2004, you or Simple	6		may have against Phillip?
7		Pleasures, Incorporated?	7	A.	
8	A.	· 1	8	Q.	That would be you; is that correct?
9		for all the equipment and all the	9	A.	Correct.
10		what it gave at that particular time.	10	Q.	Individually or the business?
11	0	I mean, because it was used.	11	A.	Um-hmm.
12	Q.	Okay. But with respect to your claim	12	Q.	Have you been successful today in
13		against the profits of the business,	13		getting your individual tax any
14 15		is it your position in this lawsuit	14		lien that may be filed against you
		that you're entitled to the revenues	15	٨	individually released?
16 17		from the stemming from the	16 17	A.	
18		operation of the business subsequent	18	Q.	Okay. Those tax liens remain; is that correct?
19		to I mean after September the 24th, 2004?	19	A.	
20	A.		20	Q.	Even today?
21	Α.	Pleasures, Inc. owns that business and	21	Q. A.	Um-hmm.
22		everything in it, and whatever it was	22	Q.	You had a tax obligation of over
23		doing and the profit from it more than	23	٧٠	\$30,000 to the Internal Revenue
		doing and the profit from it more than			ψου, σου το αποτιμαι πονοιιαο

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

334.262.7556 Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

	Page 58			Page 60
1	Service; is that correct?	1		unless the Internal Revenue Service
	Correct.	2		released the business and you?
	Had you filed any type of Offer in	3	A.	Correct.
4	Compromise or directed your attorney	4	Q.	
5	to file an Offer in Compromise with	5		your efforts to reduce that liability
6	the Internal Revenue Service?	6		today? What has occurred?
7 A.	I believe we made an offer.	7	A.	
8 Q.	Okay. And have you received a an	8		time.
9	acceptance of that offer for you	9	Q.	Have you attempted anything?
10	personally, let me begin with.	10	À.	
11 A.	I believe that the direction that we	11	Q.	Okay. Did you read paragraph number
12	were taking at this time prior to them	12		nine?
13	not doing it is different than the	13	A.	Um-hmm.
14	direction that we are in presently.	14	Q.	Did you discern from that that maybe
15 Q.	Let me ask you: Did you file an Offer	15		some of the terms and conditions of
16	in Compromise with the Internal	16		this letter are not are not
17	Revenue Service? Did you or did	17		intended by the parties to be engraved
18	you instruct your attorneys to file an	18		in stone or by any
19	Offer in Compromise with the Internal	19	A.	The I guess with my naive behavior,
20	Revenue Service?	20		I felt like what we were discussing
	Yes, I instructed my attorney.	21		here was the sale of the business, an
22 Q.	Are you aware had there been any	22		operating entity that was capable of
23	other efforts to compromise this	23		operating, and that's what I was
	Page 59			Page 61
1	liability other than through the	1		giving him.
2	Offer-in-Compromise program?	2	Q.	Had there prior to September the
3 A .	No.	3		24th, had there been discussions
4 Q.	Okay. Are you sitting here today	4		between yourself and Mr. Saele and/or
5	suggesting that the liability, as	5		your counsel, being Mr. Memory, I take
6	assessed, as indicated by the Internal	6		it; is that correct?
7	Revenue Service, is incorrect; that	7	A.	Correct.
8	you don't owe that much money?	8	Q.	And Mr. Buster Russell about the sale
9 A.	No, I wouldn't say.	9		of this business?
10 Q.	In other words, you owed more than	10	A.	Yes.
11	\$30,000?	11	Q.	±
	Correct.	12		the attorneys?
13 Q.		13	A.	
14	that liability, or get that liability	14	Q.	And discussions between the two of
15	reduced through any other means other	15		you, Mr. Goodwyn and being Mr.
16	than the Offer in Compromise; is that	16		Goodwyn yourself and Mr. Saele?
17	correct?	17	A.	
	Correct.	18	Q.	,
19 Q.	You haven't challenged the underlying	19		on?
_	. 1	20	A.	Probably six weeks.
20	assessment, have you?			•
20 21 A .	No.	21	Q.	Okay. And did you want this sale to
20	No.			•

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

334.262.7556 Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

		Page 62			Page 64
1	Α	Yes.	1	0	Well, why don't you read paragraph
2		Was it your opinion that Mr. Saele	2	ζ.	number 21 and 22 of the lawsuit
3	∢.	wanted this transfer or sale to occur	3		itself, the complaint itself I'm
4		as promptly as possible?	4		sorry, paragraph 27 through 31.
5	A.	Yes.	5	A.	(Witness complies.) Okay.
6		Do you doubt on September the 24th,	6		How did Mr. Saele take this business
7		2004, that Vince Saele wanted to go	7		from you? Explain to me.
8		through with this transaction?	8	A.	`
9	A.	No.	9		to pay for.
10	Q.		10	Q.	Did you give him the keys to the
11		you know of anything that would have	11		location?
12		changed his mind? What do you know	12	A.	
13		today?	13	Q.	*
14		MR. MEMORY: Object to the	14		the business?
15		form. You can't look in his mind and	15		Yes, he continued operations.
16		answer that question.	16	Q.	
17	Q.		17		the 24th he had a plan to somehow take
18		information other than the letter from	18		this business from you?
19		Mr. Buster Russell that was presented	19	A.	
20		a few moments ago that was shown as	20	Q.	Okay. Have you got any evidence of
21 22		Exhibit 3 in the Vince Saele	21		that, anything other than your
23		deposition, anything other than this	22 23		conclusions at this moment just before
23		document saying that Mr. Saele does Page 63	23		that?
		_			
1		not wish to go through with the	1		MR. MEMORY: Object to the
2		transaction?	2	\circ	form.
3 4	Α.		3 4	Ų.	(BY MR. HAMM) Have you got anything
5	Q.	Okay. And do you know of anything other than that indicating to you that	5		that would say to you or suggest to you that Mr. Saele
6		I asked you if you had anything	6	A.	·
7		other than that document, item No. 3.	7	л.	the contract, by not mentioning
8		But do you know of anything else that	8		anything, not saying, "Hey, I need
9		would have indicated to you that	9		to we need to get something in
10		Mr. Saele did not wish to go through	10		writing on these things, otherwise I'm
11		with this transaction?	11		going to pull I'm not going to pay
12	A.		12		you." There was no attempt to
13	Q.	Okay. And Mr. Saele's failure to	13		reconcile any differences in any
14	-	follow through with this transaction	14		problems. Where I was proceeding as
15		is the sole basis for your breach of	15		though everything was fine because
16		contract?	16		it was. He had full operations. He
17	A.		17		had everything he needed. I was under
18	Q.	J 1	18		no idea that in any way, form, or
19		have alleged a claim of conversion.	19		fashion he could have been hindrance
20		First, do you understand what we mean	20		(sic), and he wasn't. He had no
21		or what is meant by the term	21		nothing was taking his equipment.
22 23	٨	"conversion"?	22 23		Nothing was stopping him, so how was I
	Α.	No. What do you mean by conversion?	<u> </u>		not delivering? And so I was

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

		Page 66			Page 68
1		expecting him to do the same.	1	A.	Right.
2	\circ	But so is it fair to say that by	2	Q.	Is that his failure to follow
3	∢.	Mr. Saele and V Restaurants,	3	∢.	through with the agreement on
4		Incorporated not following through	4		September 24
5		with the contract, that they have	5		MR. MEMORY: No, no. He's
6		converted your restaurant to their own	6		answered that twice as to what I
7		use?	7		know what you want to get at him, but
8	A.	Correct.	8		
9	Q.	Okay. And that is the manner by which	9		MR. HAMM: No. Tell me.
10		they took this business from you?	10		MR. MEMORY: Exactly. That
11	A.	Their refusal to pay then made it	11		it's not the basis just to the
12		made me not be able to make my	12		contract, that there's more to it than
13		obligations to Spectrum, which was to	13		that.
14		deliver the \$30,000 contract	14	Q.	(BY MR. HAMM) Well, if there is,
15		between	15		please tell me. That's what I'm
16	Q.	Well, the contract was coming from	16		asking.
17		Mr. Saele, was it not?	17		MR. MEMORY: Answer his
18	A.	Right. But I was delivering him in	18	_	question.
19		full, and he was coming with the	19	Q.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
20		money. I had told him that Vince was	20		than the contract, the underlying
21		in agreement to sign this. He was	21		contract, that you say Mr. Saele
22		paying me \$60,000, which would deliver	22		breached to support your conversion
23		then the equipment to Spectrum.	23		plan? Let me ask it this way
		Page 67			Page 69
1	Q.	•	1		unless you wish to answer that
2	A.	1 1 1	2		question.
3		and everything released from liens,	3		I'm thoroughly
4		also from Region's liens and from the	4	Q.	
5		IRS. So suddenly, because Vince pulls	5		the contract?
6 7		out of that agreement, I can't fulfill the portion for Spectrum.	6 7	A.	
8	\circ	So you're telling the Court and	8	Q.	Would you have a conversion claim against Mr. Saele had he done what
9	Q.	testifying today that by virtue of Mr.	9		y'all had agreed on September the
10		Saele not following through with his	10		24th, 2004?
11		obligations under this September 24th,	11	A.	
12		2004 contract, he converted your	12	. 1.	performed transferred money and
13		business to his use?	13		signed the lease with Spectrum
14	A.		14		Development, there would be no claim
15		signed this contract.	15		against Vince Saele.
16	Q.	Okay. And then failing to follow	16	Q.	<u> </u>
17	-	through with this agreement of	17	-	all?
18		September the 24th, right?	18	A.	
19	A.	, ,	19		would have no contentions.
20		over the power, signed over everything	20	Q.	J 1 3
21	_	immediately once this was done.	21		enrichment, what supports that claim?
22	Q.	, , , ,	22	A.	That he took over a business without
23		the basis of your claim.	23		the intentions of paying or without

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

334.262.7556

Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

		Page 70			Page 72
1		paying, and I don't know what his	1		up title when Vince had failed,
2		intentions are or not, without paying.	2		decided he was not going to to move
3	O	Okay. Do you have any evidence or any	3		forward on this.
4	ζ.	suggestion today that on September the	4	Q.	
5		24th Vince Saele did not intend to	5	ζ.	that may have happened? Did you
6		purchase this business?	6		receive notice of that?
7	A.	·	7	A.	
8		quickly entered into a deal with Ed	8		intention, which I then spoke to Ed
9		Fatzinger to do it. I don't know.	9		about, and he said it was a formality,
10	Q.	So it had might be supported by the	10		to continue to move forward, so that
11		timing of his agreement with	11		it was only a formality, but he wanted
12		Mr. Fatzinger also?	12		to fulfill this contract that he was
13	A.	I know that they'd had numerous	13		aware of because he wanted clean, you
14		negotiations and discussions, and I	14		know this is what he wanted
15		don't know what might have been going	15		(indicating).
16		on. I can't I don't know.	16	Q.	Do you recall when you received the
17	Q.	Well, let's talk about that. What is	17		notice from Mr. Fatzinger?
18		your understanding of his agreement	18	A.	
19		with Mr. Fatzinger?	19	Q.	2
20	A.	My understanding was is that he was	20		before September the 24th
21		going to sign an additional lease, and	21	A.	1 ,
22		which is part of what we had	22		that's when Ed and I were discussing
23		discussed, and a \$30,000 note.	23		that we're, Vince and I, were working
		Page 71			Page 73
1		Well, that was part of your agreement?	1		on this to move forward.
2	A.	Right. That was my understanding that	2	Q.	
3	_	Vince had with Ed.	3		from Mr. Fatzinger, subsequent to the
4	Q.		4		September the 24th, 2004?
5		Saele is operating a restaurant in the	5		MR. YARBROUGH: I object to
6		Gator's location on Vaughn Road?	6		the form and let me tell you the basis
7		Right. Right.	7		of my objection. You're not
8	Q.	How did that come to be, or what is	8 9	\circ	specifying notice of point, and
Ω		your understanding of how that came to be?	10	Q.	(BY MR. HAMM) Well, did you receive any correspondence from Mr. Fatzinger
9	A.		11		subsequent
10	л.			A.	•
10 11		reputation and the equipment	ローフー		
10 11 12	\circ	reputation and the equipment. Did something occur between well	12		
10 11 12 13	Q.	Did something occur between well,	13	Q.	What do you recall that correspondence
10 11 12 13 14		Did something occur between well, since between September	13 14		What do you recall that correspondence being subsequent to September the
10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	Did something occur between well, since between September And I signed over the license and I	13 14 15	Q.	What do you recall that correspondence being subsequent to September the 24th, 2004?
10 11 12 13 14		Did something occur between well, since between September And I signed over the license and I signed over everything and I gave him	13 14		What do you recall that correspondence being subsequent to September the 24th, 2004? I'm not sure because we deal with each
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	Did something occur between well, since between September And I signed over the license and I signed over everything and I gave him the phone. I did all of this.	13 14 15 16	Q.	What do you recall that correspondence being subsequent to September the 24th, 2004? I'm not sure because we deal with each other, Ed and I, separately. I don't
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Did something occur between well, since between September And I signed over the license and I signed over everything and I gave him the phone. I did all of this. But you know Spectrum Development	13 14 15 16 17	Q.	What do you recall that correspondence being subsequent to September the 24th, 2004? I'm not sure because we deal with each other, Ed and I, separately. I don't know. I mean, I just know that he was
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	Did something occur between well, since between September And I signed over the license and I signed over everything and I gave him the phone. I did all of this.	13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	What do you recall that correspondence being subsequent to September the 24th, 2004? I'm not sure because we deal with each other, Ed and I, separately. I don't
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	Did something occur between well, since between September And I signed over the license and I signed over everything and I gave him the phone. I did all of this. But you know Spectrum Development continues to own that facility out	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	What do you recall that correspondence being subsequent to September the 24th, 2004? I'm not sure because we deal with each other, Ed and I, separately. I don't know. I mean, I just know that he was telling me we need to get something
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	Did something occur between well, since between September And I signed over the license and I signed over everything and I gave him the phone. I did all of this. But you know Spectrum Development continues to own that facility out there; is that correct?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	What do you recall that correspondence being subsequent to September the 24th, 2004? I'm not sure because we deal with each other, Ed and I, separately. I don't know. I mean, I just know that he was telling me we need to get something resolved here. We need to get this thing moving.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	Did something occur between well, since between September And I signed over the license and I signed over everything and I gave him the phone. I did all of this. But you know Spectrum Development continues to own that facility out there; is that correct? Correct. Ed was forced into	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	What do you recall that correspondence being subsequent to September the 24th, 2004? I'm not sure because we deal with each other, Ed and I, separately. I don't know. I mean, I just know that he was telling me we need to get something resolved here. We need to get this thing moving.

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

		Page 74			Page 76
1		get this transaction resolved?	1		told me I'm initiating a lien, this
2	Α	Even prior to September 24th. He was	2		notice of foreclosure, in order to
3		putting pressure on Region's. He	3		force everybody else to see the
4		wanted to put pressure on Region's and	4		urgency to get this deal done but with
5		the IRS and everybody to let's get	5		no intentions of taking any actions on
6		this thing done and settle up. So he	6		anything.
7		was taking action to help me	7	O.	When did that occur? When were you
8		facilitate getting everybody on the	8	ζ.	informed of that?
9		same page. That was Ed's idea of a	9	A.	
10		way to make it all happen faster, not	10		was trying to get this deal done
11		in turn to steal the restaurant or	11		mid-September and early September,
12		force me out, but to make it happen.	12		that we were trying to get the deal
13	Q.	Are you suggesting that Mr. Fatzinger	13		done, and we were waiting for Region's
14			14		to give us the paperwork, and he said,
15	A.	Um-hmm.	15		well, I can help force that half, and
16	Q.	or Spectrum Development and	16		that's what was happening.
17		Mr. Saele, acting for V Restaurants,	17	Q.	So he issued some notice that he was
18		they got together in some way to cheat	18		going to foreclose his lien?
19		you out of your restaurant and this	19	A.	He issued some beginning of
20	A.	No. No. I'm saying that Spectrum	20		foreclosure proceedings.
21		Development Spectrum Development	21	Q.	Did that ever occur that you know of?
22		initiated a letter prior to this to	22	A.	That the foreclosure occurred?
23		help me make sure that Region's was	23	Q.	That there was a foreclosure of the
		Page 75			Page 77
1		going to be in full agreement and the	1		landlord's lien
2		IRS of the \$30,000 offer being offered	2	A.	Yes.
3		by Vince Saele and V Restaurants. So	3	Q.	on the furniture and, I guess,
4		he was issuing a lien but not with any	4		everything
5		intentions of actually forcing it, but	5	A.	Right.
6		to clean this to make things go	6	Q.	that Simple Pleasures owned inside
7		forward, to help perpetuate.	7		of the restaurant?
8	Q.	You're not suggesting that Mr. Saele	8	A.	Right. After Vince had said he was no
9		and V Restaurants and Spectrum and	9		intentions of paying.
10		Mr. Fatzinger got together in some way	10	Q.	2
11		to deprive you of your restaurant, are	11		Mr. Saele's the letter from
12		you?	12		Mr. Russell
13	A.	, ,	13	A.	Correct. Correct.
14		can't say that's not the case. All I	14	Q.	that is Exhibit No. 3
15		can say is, for a fact, is that Ed at	15	A.	Correct.
16		that time, Ed Fatzinger, expressed to	16	Q.	of Mr. Saele's deposition?
17		me that he was initiating this letter	17	A.	Right.
18		in order to help me facilitate and get	18	Q.	Okay. Following that, the landlord
19		everybody on the same page to get this	19	٨	foreclosed their lien?
20	0	deal done.	20	A.	
21 22	Q.		21 22	Q.	Are you aware today of any defects in
	A.	cannot say that that was not the case? Right. All I know is at that time Ed	23		that process, that it should not have happened, or they didn't do some
23			- 40		naddeneu. Of they didn't 40 SOHIE

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

		Page 78				Page	80
1		noticing procedures, or the legal	1		not going to do it and says we're not		
2		process was wrong?	2		going to execute. I'm not going to		
3	Α	You know, I don't think I don't	3		pay you for this.		
4		know. I don't know.	4	O	Is it fair to say that the facts are		
5	Q.		5	∢.	his failure to go through with this		
6	ν.	that might give you reason to	6		contract of September the 24th, 2004,		
7		challenge that?	7		that's what those are the facts		
8	A.		8		that support your fraud claim?		
9	Q.	Other than you not liking the outcome?	9	A.	Is his failure to execute this deal.		
10		MR. MEMORY: I object to the	10	Q.	Did he tell you anything that was		
11		form.	11		misleading? Can you tell me any		
12	A.	There was no effort to really make an	12		anything he told you about the		
13		active true sale I mean, no real	13		September 24, 2004 contract that was		
14		postings, no real I mean, if you	14		untrue, that you know today to be		
15		want to sell something to get a real	15		untrue?		
16		profit for it, you know, get as much	16	A.	I don't think I mean, do I know any		
17		money as you could, I don't think that	17		facts? Would you restate that		
18		was actively done, no.	18		question? Do I know of any facts that		
19	Q.	(BY MR. HAMM) Okay. Other than the	19	_	he said that were untrue?		
20		failure to solicit all offers or	20	Q.			
21		whatever	21		representations he made to you about		
22		Right.	22		this September 24 contract to get you		
23	Q.	to properly advertise	23		to sign or that you know today to be		
		Page 79				Page	81
1	A.	1 5	1		untrue, to get you to sign this		
2		conclusion that we're trying to do	2		document?		
3		this amount of money, yes, that's	3	A.	Well, I know today that he then chose		
4		probably what was happening at that	4		not to fulfill the contract, even		
5		particular time because it was	5		though there was no that time was		
6		operating and Spectrum had wanted	6		not the issue. He had full access.		
7		Vince to continue, or V Restaurants,	7		That was not an issue, and that was,		
8		to continue operating, wanted an	8		you know so there was no reason for		
9		operating entity, not someone to come	9		him to withdraw the contract. The		
10	\circ	pull the equipment out.	10 11		contract was still in place as far as		
11 12	Q.	3	12		or an agreement between the two of		
13		support your claim that V Restaurants, or Mr. Saele, defrauded you in some	13		us was still in full agreement, enforcement.		
14		manner?	14	Q.	Let me ask you this way: Other than		
15	A.		15	٧٠	Mr. Saele and V Restaurants' failure		
16	11.	the full intentions of what I was	16		to follow through with the contract of		
17		delivering, what was being requested.	17		September the 24th, are there any		
18		I gave him full access, gave him keys,	18		other facts that support your fraud		
19		gave him everything. He had no	19		claim?		
20		hindrances to owning and operating	20	A.			
		that business.	21		at the low price to get it in and to		
21		mat business.					
	Q.		22		do it in a subversive manner? Yes, I		
21	Q. A.	Okay.					

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

334.262.7556 Re

Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

		Page 82			Page 84
1		trying to do.	1		MR. MEMORY: What time is it?
2	0	Okay. You think on September the	2		MR. HAMM: 11:15 by my watch,
3	ζ.	24th, 2004 he was attempting to do	3		but it's unreliable.
4		that?	4		MR. MEMORY: What time have
5	A.		5		you got, Coleman?
6	Q.		6		MR. YARBROUGH: I have 11:15.
7	ζ.		7		MR. MEMORY: I've got 30
8	A.	I don't know.	8		minutes to get to Tuskeegee for a
9	Q.		9		twelve noon meeting.
10	_	I should say I don't know that that	10	O.	(BY MR. HAMM) With respect to the
11		wasn't his mindset, attempting	11		conspiracy count, do you understand
12	O.	Okay.	12		generally what conspiracy is?
13		I did not think it was or I wouldn't	13	A.	Um-hmm, yes.
14		have signed it.	14	Q.	
15	O.	You're sitting here today telling us	15		conspiracy to be.
16		that Mr. Saele bought this restaurant	16	A.	± *
17		equipment at a below-market price; is	17	Q.	
18		that correct?	18	À.	-
19	A.	Correct.	19	Q.	· ·
20	Q.	And that fact supports your contention	20		wrong?
21		that you were defrauded in some manner	21	A.	ě
22		or helps aid in supporting your	22		another party.
23		contention you were defrauded in some	23	Q.	Okay. Between who in your case?
		Page 83			Page 85
1		manner; is that correct?	1	A.	In my case it would have been between
2	A.	Correct.	2		Spectrum Development and
3	Q.	Okay. But other than that fact, is	3		MR. HAMM: I'm going to stand
4		there anything that you know other	4		up for a second.
5		than the fact that Mr. Saele purchased	5	A.	and Vince Saele or Ed Fatzinger and
6		this restaurant at, you say, a	6		Vince Saele.
7		below-market price, there's no other	7	Q.	` ' '
8		facts that you know that support the	8		and
9		fact support the notion that you	9	A.	Č
10		were defrauded in some manner?	10	Q.	1
11	A.	3 1 6 6	11		Fatzinger well, he's not a party to
12		he withdrew the contract that was	12		this litigation. Spectrum
13		going to be paid	13		Development, Vince Saele, and V
14		Right.	14		Restaurants?
15	A.	and then everything would have been	15	Α.	
16	_	fine.	16	Q.	They got together in some manner to do
17	Q.	Withdrew the contract and purchased it	17		you some harm?
18		below market, correct?	18	A.	*
19	A.	Right. Because I had no use for it at	19	Q.	
20	0	that point.	20		or agreed in some manner to do you
21	Q.	Okay. You've also alleged that	21	٨	some harm?
22 23		MR. HAMM: Mr. Memory, I know	22 23	A.	-
23		you've got to get out of here.	<u> </u>		agreement on how to execute and how to

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

		Page 86			Page 88
1		_	1		would have been before or after that
1 2		end up with the restaurant and its and everything.	1 2		date?
3	Q.		3	A.	
4	Q.	agreement?	4	11.	looking at it in November 30 prior
5	A.	<u></u> _	5		to the November 30th date.
6	Q.	How did you learn of the agreement?	6	Q.	
7	À.	*	7		prior to November 30th, 2004 to
8		of a specific agreement, I guess, in	8	A.	I can't say that they honestly
9		retrospect of	9		can't say that they, in my mind, made
10	Q.	Okay. How do you know there was an	10		it or rather Vince had figured out a
11		agreement then? Maybe I should ask it	11		way to try to to come whether
12		that way.	12		Vince had figured out a way, by having
13	A.	I would assume that they had come to	13		discussions with Ed Fatzinger, on
14		some level and it was being an	14		ascertaining the business in a
15		assumption I would have made.	15		different form.
16	Q.	Okay. And how do you draw that	16	Q.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
17		conclusion that there was an	17		just kind of conjured up a plan?
18		agreement?	18	A.	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$
19	A.	1 0	19	_	Fatzinger.
20		pay and figuring out a way to he	20	Q.	3 6 1 1
21		had to have gotten clear title from	21		be to not go through with the contract
22		Spectrum because Spectrum was the one	22		with you, which
23		that was going to end up owning	23	Α.	
		Page 87			Page 89
1		everything.	1	Q.	was on September the 24th
2	Q.	Do you think that agreement occurred	2	A.	Correct.
3		before September the 24th, 2004?	3	Q.	and then wait for Spectrum
4	A.	I would not have been aware. I don't	4		Development to foreclose their lien?
5	_	know.	5	A.	
6	Q.	Okay. Do you think it may have	6	Q.	Okay. Other than that happening, the
7		occurred have you got anything to	7		events happening in that manner; that
8 9		indicate that if occurred after	8		is, the contract did not go through,
10	A.	September the 24th, 2004? It would have been more or likely	10		and, yes, in fact, Spectrum Development did foreclose their lien
11	A.	after.	11		on the furniture and fixtures in this
12	Q.	Do you think it would have been before	12		restaurant, and thereby have rights to
13	Q.	or after the Saele Exhibit Number 3,	13		that property, other than those two
14		the Exhibit Number 3 to his	14		things occurring, what other facts do
15		deposition, which is dated November	15		you know today that support a
16		the 30th, 2004?	16		conspiracy count?
17		MR. HAMM: Then that's the	17	A.	
18		letter filed Exhibit Number 3, the	18		MR. HAMM: Von, you want to
19		letter from Mr. Russell. I'll make a	19		let's just adjourn this deposition.
20		copy of this and hand it to Mr.	20		MR. MEMORY: That's fine.
21		Goodwyn's deposition.	21		MR. HAMM: I think that might
22	Q.	` '	22		be best because I've got some more,
23		would have been that agreement	23		and you've got to get to Tuskeegee.

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

b4ce0427-0b12-4d29-a34d-e5e61109eba8

	Page 00	Page 02
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, the deposition of PHILLIP GOODWYN was adjourned at approximately 11:29 a.m., on February 17, 2006.)	the foregoing 90 typewritten pages contain a true and accurate transcription of the examination of said witness by counsel for the parties set out herein; that the reading and signing of said deposition was waived by witness and counsel for the parties. I further certify that I am neither of kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause, nor in any manner interested in the results thereof. This 27th day of February, 2006. Aimee French, Reporter and Notary Public State of Alabama at Large
	Page 91	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	********** REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE ********* STATE OF ALABAMA COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY I, Aimee French, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that on February 17, 2006, pursuant to notice and stipulation on behalf of the Defendant, I reported the deposition of PHILLIP GOODWYN, who was first duly sworn by me to speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the matter of SIMPLE PLEASURES, INC., Plaintiff, versus V RESTAURANTS, INC., ET AL, Defendants, Case Number 05-32325-WRS, now pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for Montgomery County, Alabama; that	

24 (Pages 90 to 92)

334.262.4437

334.262.7556

Reagan Reporters, LLC www.ReaganReporters.com

PARNELL & CRUM, P.A.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
641 SOUTH LAWRENCE STREET
MONTGOMERY, AL 36104

CHARLES N. FARNELL, DI G. BARTON CAUM ROBERT J. RUSSELL JR. BRITT BATSON GREGOS MATTHEW T. ELLIS ADRIAN D. JOHNSON J. MATTHEW PARNELL DAYNA R. BURNETT

TRLEPHONE
334-832-4203

TELECOPIER 154-297-3551

MAILING ADDRESS 1.0. BOX 2189 218 CODE 36103-2189

September 24, 2004

VIA FACSIMILE - 834-8001

Von G. Memory Attorney at Law 469 S. McDonough Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104

Dear Von:

I am in receipt of your sales agreement and have briefly reviewed same, deciding that it is not possible to make the corrections and amendments this afternoon prior to 5:00. Thus, I write this letter as a memorandum of agreement, which I believe contains the pertinent provisions, until we can get the final draft documentation agreed upon. They are as follows:

- 1. That the purchase price shall be \$90,000.00;
- 2. That the purchase price is for all of the assets, including, but not limited to, licenses and leases, equipment, office supplies, inventory, automobiles/delivery van, etc., and any other of the same located off-premises in storage buildings, etc.;
- 3. That Seller will lease the business to Buyer, along with all licenses and property until the date of closing for the sum of \$250.00;
- 4. That the ownership of the properties mentioned herein above will be transferred to my client at a closing date no later than October 22, 2004, or as otherwise agreed upon by the parties, and that the property transferred shall be transferred without any liens or encumbrances whatsoever;
- 5. That the closing is contingent upon Regions accepting the sum of \$30,000.00 for Phillips' lien.
- 6. That Spectrum Development will accept a note from Vince in the amount of \$30,000.00 and that the \$30,000.00 note shall be counted as consideration towards the purchase of the business;

Von G. Memory September 24, 2004 Page Two

- 7. That Buyer is entitled to all revenues derived from the business from the date of the consummation of this agreement forward;
- That the remaining \$30,000.00 be paid to the Internal Revenue Service for and in 8. consideration of the release of any and all liens which they may have against Phillip, individually, or the business (if any additional monies are owed, Phillip pays);
- 9, In the event that any of the contingencies stated herein are not complied with or fulfilled by the Obligor, consideration shall be immediately withdrawn from the Trust Account and returned to the Purchaser:
- 10. That upon the consummation of this document, the Purchaser shall be entitled to utilize the premises and operate the business in its normal manner and without interference from Mr. Goodwyn:
- 11. That the catering business operating out of Gator's shall continue, but only be operated by its new owner,

12. That if any of the contingencies fail wherein the Seller is the Obligor, Seller shall reimburse Purchaser for any and all net financial losses of expenses related thereto.

Von, my client is at wits end and feels he may be wasting his time. These terms are nonnegotiable and this must be signed by tomorrow at noon (Saturday, September 25, 2004).

Sincerely

Robert J. Russell, Jr.

RJRjr/fd

VINCE Saele

Its: President

Simple Plansyres, Inc. Phillip Goodwyn

It: President

No. 1122 Filed 12/04/2006

Page 1 of 4

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

IN RE: PHILLIP GOODWYN, DEBTOR,

SIMPLE PLEASURES, INC., PLAINTIFF,

V.

V. RESTAURANTS, INC., DEFENDANT.

CHAPTER 7 CASE NO. 05-32325-WRS

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO. 05-03062

AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT J. RUSSELL, JR.

My name is Robert J. Russell, Jr. and I am over 19 years of age and competent to testify to the following:

- 1. I am a licensed practicing attorney in Montgomery, Alabama and have been so since 1986. I currently practice in the law firm of Parnell & Crum, P.A. in Montgomery, Alabama. I am a member of the Alabama State Bar and in good standing.
- 2. During the year 2004, Vince Saele, acting on behalf of V Restaurants, Inc. (hereinafter V Restaurants) retained me to represent V Restaurants with its upcoming acquisition of a restaurant known as Gators Restaurant located in the Vaughn Plaza Shopping Center in Montgomery Alabama. Mr. Saele was the owner of V Restaurants. At the time I was employed, Gators Restaurant was owned by Simple Pleasures, Inc. Simple Pleasures was owned by its President, Mr. Phillip Goodwyn.

Case 2:06-cv-00893-WKW-SRW

- 4. Over the course of that summer there were several proposals tendered by Mr. Memory acting on behalf of Simple Pleasures, Inc. I responded to these proposals after consultation with Mr. Saele.
- 5. The negotiations for the acquisition of the Gators Restaurant location was made more difficult because of outstanding Federal tax liens and a secured interest owned by Regions Bank. These liens attached to the furniture and fixtures housed within the restaurant location. In addition to these encumbrances upon the property, Mr. Goodwyn had allowed the monthly rental payments to go unpaid for a number of months, creating a rental obligation to the owner of the restaurant location, Spectrum Development, Inc.
- 6. Negotiations continued for several weeks and Mr. Saele instructed me to respond to one of Mr. Memory's latter proposals with a letter dated September 24, 2004. This letter detailed the terms and conditions upon which Mr. Saele and V Restaurants, Inc. would take title to the restaurant location. A copy of this letter is made a part of this affidavit. The letter was signed by Mr. Saele and Mr. Goodwyn, both acting on behalf of corporations involved in the transaction.
- 7. Mr. Saele was at that time operating the restaurant and wanted the sale/purchase to take place or he would then be forced to take alternative routes. This

Case 2:06-cv-00893-WKW-SRW

Document 4-5

letter/agreement set out the terms and conditions upon which Mr. Saele was willing to proceed with the acquisition of the Gator's restaurant location. This letter/agreement contained the terms important to Mr. Saele regarding the restaurant acquisition.

- 8. Paragraph #4 of the September 24, 2004 letter required Mr. Goodwyn to transfer the Gators Restaurant to V Restaurants, Inc. free of the Federal tax liens and the Region Bank interest. This transfer was to occur on or before October 22, 2004, and should the liens not be cleared and the transfer occur, then the agreement would become void and Mr. Saele and V Restaurants would be released from the agreement.
- 9. Between the writing of the letter on September 24, 2004 and the agreed date upon which the transfer was to take place, October 22, 2004, I made a number of phone calls to Mr. Memory's office to determine the progress of efforts on behalf of Mr. Goodwyn to clear the liens and encumbrances in question. Through-out all of theses calls, when I did receive a return phone call, I was never provided any assurance that the subject liens and encumbrances (Federal Tax lien and Regions lien) were being addressed by Mr. Goodwyn.
- 10. In spite of my additional efforts, I received no confirmation of sale from either Mr. Goodwyn or Mr. Memory.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this the 14th day of March, 2006.

Mar. 14. 2006 3:57PM PARNELL&CRUM Case 2:06-cv-00893-WKW-SRW

Document 4-5

Filed 12/04/2006 No. 1122 Pg. 5

STATE OF ALABAMA

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

I, the undersigned authority, a Notary Public for the State at Large, hereby certify that Robert J. Russell, Jr., whose name is signed to the foregoing conveyance, and who is known to me, acknowledge before me on this day, that being informed of the contents of the conveyance, he executed the same voluntarily on the day the same bears date.

GIVEN under my hand and official seal the 14th day of March, 2006.

NOTARY PUBLIC

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 10 - 21 - 07

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

IN RE: PHILLIP GOODWYN, DEBTOR,

SIMPLE PLEASURES, INC., PLAINTIFF,

V.

V. RESTAURANTS, INC., AND VINCE SAELE, **DEFENDANTS.**

CHAPTER 7 CASE NO. 05-32325-WRS

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO. 05-03062

DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT

COMES NOW V Restaurants, Inc. and Vince Saele, Defendants in the above styled action, by and through their attorney of record, and replies to the Plaintiff's Brief in Response to Motion for Summary Judgment of Defendant's.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	2
Points and Authorities	3
Factual Basis	4
Argument	5
Breach of Contract	7
Date Certain – Time of the Essence	7
Substantial Performance	8
Waiver	9
Conversion	9
Unjust Enrichment	10
Fraud	10
Conclusion	11

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Cases	
Allen v. Tyson Foods, 121 F.3d 642, 646 (11th Cir.1997)	6
Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 248, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91	
L.Ed.2d 202 (1986)	6
Anderson v. Smith's Towing Co., Inc., 867 So.2d 1121,	
(Ala.Civ.App.,2002)	9
Barry Mogul & Assocs., Inc. v. Terrestris Dev. Co., 267 Ill.App.3d 742,	
643 N.E.2d 245, 205 Ill. Dec. 294, (1994)	10
Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 323, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 91 L.Ed.2d	
265 (1986)	6
Ellis v. Alcuri, 710 So.2d 1266, 1267 (Ala.Civ.App.1997)	9
Fitzpatrick v. Atlanta, 2 F.3d 1112, 1116 (11th Cir.1993)	6
Gadsden Brick Co. v. Cranford, 273 Ala. 37, 134 So.2d 421, (Ala. 1961)	9
Huntsville Golf Dev., Inc. v. Ratcliff, Inc., 646 So.2d 1334, 1336	
(Ala.1994)	9
Isom v. Johnson, 205 Ala. 157, 158, 87 So. 543 (1921)	7
Kennedy v. Polar-BEK & Baker Wildwood Partnership, 682 So.2d 443,	
(Ala.1996)	10
Moore v. Lovelace, 413 So.2d 1100 (Ala.1982)	7
Rogers v. Relyea, 184 Mont. 1, 601 P.2d 37 (1979)	7
Seybold v. Magnolia Land Co., 376 So.2d 1083 (Ala.1979)	7
Sullivan v. Mazak Corp., 805 So.2d 674, (Ala.,2000)	10
Tipton v. Bergrohr GMBH-Siegen, 965 F.2d 994, 998 (11th Cir.1992)	6
United States v. Four Parcels of Real Property, 941 F.2d 1428, 1437-38	
(11th Cir.1991)	6, 7
Wilson v. Williams 257 Ala 445 59 So 2d 616 (Ala 1963)	S

Page 4 of 12

FACTUAL BASIS

Simple Pleasures, Inc. has for several years operated the restaurant known as Gator. The restaurant operates from the Vaughan Plaza Shopping Center in Montgomery, Alabama. Phillip Goodwyn is the sole shareholder of Simple Pleasures and was, up until September 2004, the operator of the Gators.

For several months prior to Mr. Goodwyn leaving the restaurant location, Gator had suffered financial problems. These financial problems resulted in substantial unpaid rent owed to Defendant Spectrum/Vaughn Plaza L.L.C. In addition to the rental obligation, unpaid Federal employee withholding taxes resulted in substantial Federal tax liens filed by the Internal Revenue Service. The restaurant was also in default on an obligation to Regions Bank who held a secured interest in the restaurant equipment.

During the Summer of 2004, Mr. Vince Saele acting as a consultant employed by Simple Pleasures began working at the Gators restaurant. Mr. Saele's efforts were to evaluate the restaurant and determine if it could become profitable. Mr. Goodwyn and Mr. Saele always anticipated that Mr. Saele may wish to enter an agreement with Mr. Goodwyn and Simple Pleasures and Spectrum/Vaughn Plaza L.L.C. to purchase the restaurant. Should the restaurant purchase come to pass, Mr. Saele would make the purchase through his corporation know as V Restaurants, Inc. Any agreement would address the unpaid rental obligation, the Federal tax liens and a secured interest that Regions Bank held in the restaurant equipment.

On September 24, 2004, after several weeks of negotiations between Mr. Goodwyn counsel and Mr. Saele counsel, Mr. Goodwyn and Mr. Saele, acting on behalf or their respective corporations, entered a letter of understanding at to the essential terms

of the restaurant sale/purchase. This letter is now a part of the file in this case and is attached as an exhibit to the Complaint.

A necessary condition of the letter of understanding dated September 24, 2004, between the seller and purchaser was that the transfer would occur "without any lien or encumbrances whatsoever." In addition, the transfer was to occur "at a closing date no later than October 22, 2004" and should any of the contingencies not occur "consideration shall be withdrawn from the Trust Account and returned to the Purchaser."

The Federal tax liens and the Regions secured interest were not cleared by October 22, 2004 and the property could not transfer without the attached liens as required in the letter of understanding. Mr. Saele and V Restaurants, Inc. elected to forgo the purchase of the restaurant from the Simple Pleasures, Inc.

Now Simple Pleasures, Inc. and Phillip Goodwyn sue V Restaurants, Inc, Spectrum/Vaughan Plaza, L.L.C. and Vince Saele for breach of contract, conversion, unjust enrichment, damages for use, fraud, negligence, wantonness, willfulness and conspiracy. The Defendant V Restaurants, Inc., and Vince Saele now move for summary judgment alleging that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact.

ARGUMENT

Bankruptcy Rules of Procedure 7056 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(c) provides that summary judgment shall be granted "if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." An issue of fact is "material" if, under the applicable substantive law, it might affect the outcome of the case. *Allen v. Tyson Foods, 121 F.3d* 642, 646 (11th Cir.1997) (citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 248, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (1986); Tipton v. Bergrohr GMBH-Siegen, 965 F.2d 994, 998 (11th Cir.1992)). An issue of fact is "genuine" if the record taken as a whole could lead a rational trier of fact to find for the nonmoving party. A court must decide "whether the evidence presents a sufficient disagreement to require submission to a jury or whether it is so one-sided that one party must prevail as a matter of law." *Anderson, 477 U.S. at* 251, 252, 106 S.Ct. 2505.

The moving party bears "the initial responsibility of informing the ... court of the basis for its motion, and identifying those portions of 'the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any,' which it believes demonstrate the absence of a genuine issue of material fact." *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 323, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 91 L.Ed.2d 265 (1986).* Where the nonmoving party bears the burden of proof at trial, the moving party may discharge this "initial responsibility" by showing that there is an absence of evidence to support the nonmoving party's case or by showing that the nonmoving party will be unable to prove its case at trial. *United States v. Four Parcels of Real Property, 941 F.2d 1428, 1437-38 (11th Cir.1991).* To survive summary judgment, the nonmoving party bearing the ultimate burden of proof at trial must come forward with evidence sufficient to withstand a directed verdict motion. *Fitzpatrick v. Atlanta, 2 F.3d 1112, 1116 (11th Cir.1993).*

On summary judgment, "the evidence of the non-movant is to be believed." *Anderson, 477 U.S. at 255, 106 S.Ct. 2505.* "The district court should resolve all

reasonable doubts about the facts in favor of the non-movant, and draw all justifiable inferences ... in his favor." Four Parcels, 941 F.2d at 1428.

Breach of Contract

This contract was breached; however, the breach was by Simple Pleasures, Inc and not by the Defendants. When a contracting party breaches its contract in a material manner the opposing party's right of action is complete upon the breach. Seybold v. Magnolia Land Co., 376 So.2d 1083 (Ala.1979). A material breach is one that touches the fundamental purposes of the contract and defeats the object of the parties in making the contract. Rogers v. Relyea, 184 Mont. 1, 601 P.2d 37 (1979).

Simple Pleasures agreed to transfer the restaurant equipment without the Federal tax liens and the Regions secured interest and agreed to accomplish this by October 22, 2004. (See Complaint and letter of understanding attached) Mr. Goodwyn testified that the liens were not resolved on the required date. (Goodwyn Deposition page 50) The Regions liens were not released to allow a transfer of good title.

Date Certain – Time of the Essence

The Plaintiff now contends that the date stated in the contract, October 22, 2004, itself did not make time of the essence in this contract. This argument is without merit. It is a general rule in equity that time is not of the essence of a contract. However, the parties to a contract may make time of its essence by a clear manifestation of their intent to do so in the terms of their agreement. Moore v. Lovelace, 413 So.2d 1100 (Ala.1982); Isom v. Johnson, 205 Ala. 157, 158, 87 So. 543 (1921).

In this case, the agreement between the parties required the restaurant to "... be transferred no latter than October 22, 2004, or as otherwise agreed upon by the

parties...". The Plaintiff has produced no evidence of any subsequent agreement to transfer the restaurant after the October 22, 2004, date. In addition the agreement stated further "That in the event any of the contingencies stated herein are not fulfilled by the Obligor, consideration shall be immediately withdrawn from the Trust Account and returned to the Purchaser." More importantly the agreement states "Von, my client is at his wits end and feels he may be wasting his time. These terms are non-negotiable and this must be signed by tomorrow at noon (Saturday, September 25, 2004)."

The agreement clearly indicated the intent that time was of the essence in the agreement. The evidence of the parties' intent is strong enough to warrant this Court finding this issue as a matter of law. A date certain was placed in the contract, the agreement provided provisions as to events that would occur if that date certain were not met, and the agreement stated that the Purchaser (the V Restaurants and Saele) were not open to any further delays.

Substantial Performance

Where a contract is substantially performed by one party and the benefits thereof retained by the other, recovery may be had in an action of this kind on an averment of full or complete performance, although the proof shows only a substantial performance. Substantial performance does not contemplate a full or exact performance of every slight or unimportant detail, but performance of all important parts. Wilson v. Williams, 257 Ala. 445, 59 So.2d 616, (Ala. 1963).

In this case, Goodwyn did not substantially perform his duties under the agreement. Although V Restaurants was placed in possession of the restaurant, Goodwyn was never, and still cannot today, deliver good title to the restaurant. The inability to deliver good and marketable title to the subject of the contract will operate to deny recovery for substantial performance. *Gadsden Brick Co. v. Cranford, 273 Ala. 37, 134 So.2d 421, (Ala. 1961)*.

Waiver

The Plaintiff argues that V Restaurants and Saele waived the right to have Goodwyn perform the terms of this agreement in a timely manner by failing to include a provision for strict compliance in the letter agreement. The Defendant reiterates the arguments contained under the heading *Date Certain – Time of the Essence*. In addition the Plaintiff advances no evidence to support this waiver.

Conversion

The Defendants are entitle to a judgment in their favor for the Plaintiff's claim of conversion. To establish conversion, a plaintiff must show a wrongful taking, an illegal assumption of ownership, an illegal use or misuse of another's property, or a wrongful detention or interference with another's property. The plaintiff must establish that the defendant converted specific personal property to the defendant's own use and beneficial enjoyment. Ellis v. Alcuri, 710 So.2d 1266, 1267 (Ala.Civ.App.1997), citing Huntsville Golf Dev., Inc. v. Ratcliff, Inc., 646 So.2d 1334, 1336 (Ala.1994), Anderson v. Smith's Towing Co., Inc., 867 So.2d 1121, (Ala.Civ.App.,2002).

The Plaintiffs have failed to produce any evidence of a wrongful taking or an illegal assumption of ownership. The Plaintiffs have failed to advance any evidence of illegal use or misuse of the restaurant or detention of the property over the Defendant's objection.

Unjust Enrichment

Summary judgment in favor of the Defendant is due to be granted for the Plaintiff's claim for unjust enrichment. A party cannot recover on a claim of unjust enrichment where there is an enforceable express contract between the parties concerning the same subject matter on which the unjust-enrichment claim rests. See *Kennedy v. Polar-BEK & Baker Wildwood Partnership*, 682 So.2d 443, (Ala.1996). See also *Barry Mogul & Assocs.*, Inc. v. Terrestris Dev. Co., 267 Ill.App.3d 742, 643 N.E.2d 245, 205 Ill. Dec. 294, (1994), stating:

"It is well established, as a general rule, that a plaintiff cannot pursue a quasi-contractual claim where there is an enforceable express contract between the parties.... 'Difficulties arise with quasi-contractual claims when there is an express contract between the parties. The general rule is that no quasi-contractual claim can arise when a contract exists between the parties concerning the same subject matter on which the quasi-contractual claim rests. The reason for this rule is not difficult to discern. When parties enter into a contract they assume certain risks with an expectation of a return. Sometimes, their expectations are not realized, but they discover that under the contract they have assumed the risk of having those expectations defeated. As a result, they have no remedy under the contract for restoring their expectations. In desperation, they turn to quasi-contract for recovery. This the law will not allow. Quasi-contract is not a means for shifting a risk one has assumed under contract.' *Sullivan v. Mazak Corp.*, 805 So.2d 674, (Ala., 2000).

Fraud

Summary judgment is due to be granted as to the Plaintiff's fraud count. The Plaintiff argues in its response to the Defendant's summary judgment brief that state of mind is not capable of discerning from pleading filed with the Court. As to this matter, the Plaintiff is correct, however, the point of the Defendant's motion is the Plaintiff's failure to designate a time and place where any materially misleading statements or even if there were any materially misleading statements. During Mr. Goodwyn's deposition he

stated that there were no false or misleading statements made to him by either of the Defendants. (Goodwyn deposition page 80 - 82).

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons the Defendants are entitled to a grant of summary judgment in their favor. The Defendants would show unto the Court that there is no genuine issue of material fact and that they are entitled to judgment as a matter of law. In support of this Motion, the Defendant submits this reply brief, it's previous Motion for Summary Judgment and supporting exhibits, all being adopted and incorporated herein by reference. The Defendant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter a judgment against the Plaintiff as to all counts in the Plaintiff's complaint.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this the 24th day of April, 2006.

/s/ Daniel G. Hamm

DANIEL G. HAMM (HAM043)
ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANTS
560 SOUTH MCDONOUGH STREET
SUITE A
MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36104
TELEPHONE 334-269-0269
FAX 34-323-5666

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have this day served a copy of this Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Brief in Response to Summary Judgment by electronic transmission or by placing a copy in the United States Mail with sufficient postage for first class delivery to the attorneys named below or parties if not represented by counsel.

DONE this the 24th day of April, 2006.

/s/ Daniel G. Hamm

DANIEL G. HAMM (HAM043) ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANTS 560 SOUTH McDonough Street SUITE A MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36104 TELEPHONE 334-269-0269 FAX 334-323-5666

Von Memory James Day Memory, Day and Azar 469 S. McDonough Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104

Coleman Yarborough Attorney at Law 2860 Zelda Road Montgomery, Alabama 36106